

PRESIDENT: You cannot ask that question. It is a hypothetical question.

MR. T. C. KESAVA PILLAI (*Kalkulam cum Vilavankod*): ഈ ഉപചോദ്യം അതിർത്തി വരുന്നതാണ്.

PRESIDENT: I have already told you that it does not arise.

MR. T. C. KESAVA PILLAI (*Kalkulam cum Vilavankod*): എക്കരാനിന് മാക്സിമമായിട്ട് ൨൨൦ രൂപയുടെ ചിലവായിട്ടുണ്ടെന്നു കാണുന്നു. ചിലകളുടെ ൧൦൦ രൂപയും ചിലവാക്കുന്നുണ്ട്. ഈ വ്യത്യാസത്തിനു കാരണമെന്താണെന്നു പറയാമോ?

MR. T. P. RAMASUBBA AIYAR (*Secretary to Government*): കളം നന്നാക്കുന്നതിനുള്ള ചിലവിനെ സംബന്ധിച്ച് കൃത്യമായ ഒരു ചട്ടമില്ലാത്തതുകൊണ്ട് അതാതിന് ആവശ്യമുള്ളതുപോലെ ചിലവുചെയ്യും. ചിലകളുടെ ൧൦ രൂപയെ ആക്കയാളൂ. ചിലതിന് ൨൦-൦൦ ൩൦-൦൦ രൂപ ചിലവാകും.

MR. T. C. KESAVA PILLAI (*Kalkulam cum Vilavankod*): വന്ന ചിലവ് അധികം ചീത്തയായ കളങ്ങൾക്കു കൂടുതലും അധികം ചീത്തയാകാത്ത കളങ്ങൾക്കു കുറവുമായിരിക്കുമോ?

MR. T. P. RAMASUBBA AIYAR (*Secretary to Government*): ആവശ്യമനുസരിച്ച് ചിലവാക്കും.

MR. T. C. KESAVA PILLAI (*Kalkulam cum Vilavankod*): ഇത്ര എക്കറിനു ഇത്ര വെള്ളം വേണമെന്ന് വല്ലതോതുമുണ്ടോ?

MR. T. P. RAMASUBBA AIYAR (*Secretary to Government*): കളത്തിന് എത്ര പണം ആവശ്യമുണ്ടോ അത്രയും പണം ചിലവു ചെയ്ത് വേല നടത്തിക്കും എന്നല്ലാതെ ഒരേക്കറിന് ഇന്നകണക്കുവേണം എന്ന് ഒന്നുമില്ല.

Partition of Kandukrishni lands.

59. **MR. TAMARAPALLIL KOCHUTOMMAN** (*Pattanamtitta*): Will the Government be pleased to state:

(a) whether they have received any application from the members of Nayar *tarwads* in Kartikapalli, Ambalapuzha, Changanachery and Kottayam taluks, after the passing of the Nayar Regulation of 1100 seeking the sanction of the Government to include in partition suits *Kandukrishni* lands in the possession of the *tarwads*;

(b) the number of such applications;

(c) whether they are aware:

(i) that the *karavans* of *tarwads* possessing *Kandukrishni* lands have, in suits filed by junior members for partition, uniformly advanced the contention that they are absolute masters of these lands both in regard to their possession and to the income therefrom;

(ii) that, in the innumerable *nischajapatrams* executed among the members of Nayar *tarwads* prior to 1100, these *Kandukrishi* lands had also been partitioned among the branches and thus possession had been transferred from one holder to another; and

(iii) that in Kuttanad, these *Kandukrishi* lands form the chief source of income to several families and that the contention of the *karanavans*, if accepted, would work serious injury to the interests of junior members; and

(d) whether they will consider the seriousness of the issue involved and pronounce their well-considered decision on the question without further delay?

MR. T. P. RAMASUBBA AIYAR (*Secretary to Government*): (a) Yes.

(b) 11.

(c) (i) the Government are not aware.

(ii) Yes.

(iii) The information in the first part of the question is noted. The latter part does not arise in view of the answer to part (c) (i).

(d) The question received the consideration of Government and they have decided that, in the best interests of *Kandukrishi* lands, partition of such holdings cannot be allowed.

The Kanapattom Bill.

60. MR. A. S. DAMODARAN ASAN (*Mavelikara cum Kārtikapalli*): With reference to the answer to my interpellation asked at the meeting of the Council held on the 28th Karkatakam 1104 about the Kanapattom Bill, will the Government be pleased to state the steps taken by them to promote the progress of the Kanapattom Bill?

MR. KAYALAM PARAMESVARAN PILLAI (*Additional Head Sirkar Vakil*): The Round Table Conference which was summoned in July 1929 for the purposes of the draft of the Jenmi Kudiyan Bill concluded its meetings on the 31st August 1929. A draft Bill to amend the Jenmi Kudiyan Regulation based on the decisions arrived at the Conference has been prepared and published in the Gazette dated the 14th January 1930 and it has been included in the list of business for the present Council meeting.

Revision of Pay of the Vernacular School Teachers.

61. MR. D. FRANCIS (*Nominated*): Will the Government be pleased to state:

(a) whether the increase of pay sanctioned by Government for the teachers of Vernacular Schools has taken effect;

(b) if not, the cause of such great delay;

(c) if the answer to part (a) is in the negative, whether the teachers who retired from service in 1104 will get the increase of pay sanctioned by Government for the period they served since the time the increase was sanctioned; and

(d) whether the teachers, if any, who died during the year 1104, would be considered eligible for the increase due for the period they were serving?